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## Introduction



THz applications:

- Biology
- Medicine
- Imaging
- Material spectroscopy
- Security
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## Introduction

- Classical approach
- Silicon Lens
- Linear polarization

■ Narrowband and wideband applications


## DREL



My approach

- Metamaterial Lens
- Circular polarization
- Complete planar structure



## CP THz Antenna with Si Lens



## CP THz Antenna with Si Lens

- Photomixer and dual slot antennas create a THz source
- RF choke for blocking DC biasing.
- The patterns of E-plane and H-plane are symmetric because the RF filter is symmetric.



## CP THz Antenna with Si Lens

Test capacitor: $\operatorname{Wf}=1 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Lf}=9 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Wg}=1 \mu \mathrm{~m}, N=6$.


Comparison of the obtained results

| Approach ( $\boldsymbol{f}=\mathbf{1}$ THz) | Value of the capacity <br> [fF] |
| :---: | :---: |
| Conformal Mapping [4] | 3.024 |
| Simple Approximation | 7.912 |
| CST MWS (frequency solver) | 3.046 |
| Published results [3] | 3.061 |

## CP THz antenna with Si lens

- The resonant frequency of the square patch can be split into two degenerated resonant modes $T M 10$ and $T M 01$ with $90^{\circ}$ phase difference.



## DREL

## Results \#1

Frequency responses of return loss and axial ratio of the simulated antenna


| Parameters $\boldsymbol{f}=\mathbf{1} \mathbf{T H z}$ | Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| Main Lobe Magnitude | 5.27 dBi |
| Side Lobe Level in the YZ Plane | -6.3 dB |
| Side Lobe Level in the XZ Plane | -8.3 dB |
| Angular Width (3 dB) in the XZ Plane | 97.3 deg |
| Angular Width (3 dB) in the YZ Plane | 123.6 deg |
| Radiation Efficiency | 61 \% |

## Results \#2



## CP THz Antenna with Metamaterials (Full concept)

## CP THz Antenna with Metamaterials



Region 1: Feeding line and GaAs photomixer
Region 2: CP antenna fed by cross slot

Multi-Layer structure

Optical beating of two laser sources

Region 3: EBG like mushroom structure
Region 4: EBG Superstrate

## Metamaterials: Superstrate at 1 THz

- Superstrates are able to focus the electromagnetic energy, thus they can be used for gain improvement of the antennas.
- The basic principle of superstrate corresponds to the principle of FabryPerot resonators.
- Radiation source is placed between the ground plane and superstrate layer and forming together a resonant cavity.
■ Superstrate can be used like replacement of the conventional lens.


Principle of the Febry-Perot resonator

## Results \#1 at 1 THz



## Results \#2 at 1 THz



## Results \#3 at 1 THz

Drectivy Abs (Phi=90)


Drectivty Abs (Phi=0)


Superstrate cover $G=17.6 \mathrm{dBi}$

Teoretical value $G=20.9 \mathrm{dBi}$
improvement of Gain

$$
G=13.07 \mathrm{~dB}
$$

Circular polarization is not affected by superstate

## Metamaterials: Mushroom structure

- Mushroom structures are able to reduce surface wave propagation.
- Mushroom structures can be used for mutual isolation improvement of the antennas in the matrix.
- Mushroom structures are able to improve axial ratio of the antenna.


Surface wave propagation inside the gounded dielectric plate

## DREL

## Metamaterials: Mushroom structure



Equivalent circuit model of the unit cell.
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## Results \#1 at 10 GHz



## Results \#2



## Results \#3: Comparison at 10 GHz

- CPA with EBG structure around radiator (design for $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{R}}=2.94, \mathrm{~h}=0.7874 \mathrm{~mm}$ )
- CPA with different dimensions of the ground plane
- Results with mushroom structure

No EBG: ground plane 53x53mm


EBG: ground plane $53 \times 53 \mathrm{~mm}$


Ground plane $15 \times 15 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Results \#4: Comparison at 10 GHz

- Frequency responses of return loss
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## Results \#5: Comparison at 10 GHz

- Radiation patterns at 10 GHz

Farfield Directivity Abs (Phi=0)


Theta / Degree vs. dBi

- EBG
...... Ground_15×15
-     -         - Ground_ $53 \times 53$
$\begin{gathered}y \\ y=x\end{gathered}=x$

Farfield Directivity Abs (Phi=90)


Theta / Degree vs. dBi
YZ Plane

## Results \#6: Comparison at 10 GHz
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## Results \#7: Comparison at 10 GHz

■ Obtained results

| Antenna | SLL in XZ Plane | SLL inYZ Plane | Gain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| With EBG | $\mathbf{- 1 9 . 9 ~ d B}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 9 . 9 ~ d B}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 9 3} \mathbf{~ d B}$ |
| Ground $53 \times 53 \mathrm{~mm}$ | -13.3 dB | -13.3 dB | 3.18 dB |
| Ground $15 \times 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 3 . 5} \mathbf{~ d B}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 3 . 5 ~ d B}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 5 3} \mathbf{~ d B}$ |

1D Results\S-Parameters\Axial_ratio


## Results \#8: Comparison at 10 GHz



Normalized electric field distribution over the antenna

# CP THz antenna with high resistivity and metamaterials 

## THz Antenna with High Resistance

- High output power
- High input resistance


Insang W., Haewook H., Ikmo P., Hanjo L. "Four-leaf clover-shaped antenna on an extended hemispherical lens for a high-outputpower THz photomixer" 35th International Conference on Infrared Millimeter and Terahertz Waves (IRMMW-THz), 2010
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## Results \#1

Farfield Directivity Abs (Phi=0)


Theta / Degree vs. dBi

Farfield Directivity Abs (Phi=90)

Theta / Degree vs. dBi
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## Results \#2

| Antenna | SLL in XZ Plane | SLL in YZ Plane | Gain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single antenna | -6.5 dB | -6.4 dB | 15.5 dB |
| Antenna with <br> superstrate | -4 dB | -6.4 dB | 5.8 dB |

Gain improvement $=9.8 \mathrm{~dB}$
Farfield Directivity Abs (Phi=0)
Farfield Directivity Abs (Phi=90)


Theta / Degree vs. dBi


Theta / Degree vs. dBi
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## THz metamaterials: Design of the mushroom

Dimensions of the one Cell:
$D=27.38 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{P}=19.38 \mu \mathrm{~m}$,
$\mathrm{R}=4 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{G}=4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
GaAs with $\mathrm{h}=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{t}$ AU $=200 \mathrm{~nm}$,
t - Pt $=40 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}$ - $\mathrm{Ti}=30 \mathrm{~nm}$


D ispersion diagram


## Results \#1



950 GHz :TM waves in propagation, TE waves in cutoff
$\mathbf{1 0 0 0} \mathbf{~ G H z}$ : both the TM and TE waves in cut off (waves do not propagate)
$\mathbf{1 2 0 0} \mathbf{~ G H z : ~ ( T M ~ w a v e s ~ i n ~ c u t o f f , ~ T E ~ w a v e s ~ i n ~ p r o p a g a t i o n ~}$
Confirm the results of the dispersion analysis.
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## Superstrate: Reduction of the cavity



$$
h=\frac{\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}}{\pi} \frac{\lambda}{4}+N \frac{\lambda}{2} \quad N=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

PEC: $\varphi=180$ Deg AMC/EBG: $\varphi \neq 180$ Deg

$$
N=1
$$



$$
N=0,1
$$



$$
h=\lambda / 2
$$

$$
\mathrm{h}=\lambda / 120
$$

Dimmensions: V1 » V2

## DREL

Conclusion

■ Disadvantages of my proposal: Losses, Efficiency, Complicated design, Production.

■Advantages of my proposal: Complete planar structure, Circular polarization, High gain, reduced mutual coupling, wide axial ratio band.
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## Superstrate: Boundary conditions



## EBG: Boundary conditions
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